



Addendum No. 2

Issued: Monday, May 27, 2019

RFP-2019-11

2019 Summerland Infrastructure Upgrades

Addendum No. 2 consists of: Additional Questions & Answers and Supporting Documents.

The RFP documents for this work are revised as noted herein. All such revisions become a part of the work and shall be included in your Proposal. No consideration will be allowed for extras due to the Proponent not being familiar with this Addendum.

1. Reference: Answers to Questions Received:

The following are answers to the questions received:

Q01	Will the road repairs for Quinpool be full width, or just as affected by construction?
A01	Just what is affected by construction to centerline and service patching.
Q02	Will any hydrants need to be added on Quinpool?
A02	The district would like to increase minimum spacing to 90m on Quinpool Rd. 2 hydrants should be added as part of this project. One near 11113 Quinpool and another near the Dickson Rd. intersection.
Q03	Is there any geotechnical information for Doherty?
A03	Borehole logs and map will be uploaded separately to the districts website.
Q04	Is there any traffic data available for Doherty?
A04	The district has found "Traffic Review for the Summerland Hills Golf Resort Development" by Hamilton Associates issued September 16, 2005. This document will be uploaded separately to the districts website. The district also has our Transportation Master Plan available online. (https://www.summerland.ca/docs/default-source/works-and-utilities/transportation/transportation-master-plan-(2007).pdf?sfvrsn=eb8cf7fb_2)
Q05	What zoning should be assumed for sanitary model on Morrow?
A05	Based on current zoning (RSD3). The district will verify the impacts to the existing downstream sanitary system once design is completed.



Q06	Is contract administration and site inspections to be priced in to the total fixed fee price? If so, what amount of hours would you like specified for each project so everyone can compare apples to apples. For example, 8 hours a week of Contract admin for 4 weeks for each project, and 20 hours of site inspection a week. Alternatively, we can list these as value added features at hourly rates.
A06	The district would like to have proponents supply a list of hourly rates at this point with a written summary of how much time they think will be spent, weekly, per rate. These numbers will not be included in the total fixed lump sum contract price as the hourly rates and time proposed for contract administration and inspection will vary between proponents.
Q07	Do you want layout or as built survey completed by the consultant, often this is completed by the contractor, especially layout?
A07	Only survey we require as part of this RFP is for the completion of the design. Layout and asbuilt surveys can be completed by the contractor.
Q08	Do you want a geotechnical assessment and recommendation of road structures for any or all of the projects, and if so which of the 4 projects would you like a geotechnical recommendation.
A08	Geotechnical assessment and recommendations should be completed for Doherty Ave. If adequate, we would like to avoid replacing the road structure.
Q09	What sort of physical access would the District need for the flume section of the Morrow sanitary sewer? 4.5 m width?
A09	Restoration of the “flume trail” following construction should be restored to allow for maintenance vehicle access. 4.5m width would be adequate.
Q10	Will the RFP contract award be given to a single proponent?
A10	Yes.
Q11	Have any easements been secured for detention at Prairie Valley Road and Morrow Avenue?
A11	No additional easements have been secured aside from what is currently existing to pipe storm water to Prairie Creek.
Q12	How will the scoring method be determined for the consultants lump sum price? What does “relative to other proponents” actually mean?
A12	This means the lowest price will get the highest score for this section. The following bids will be scored lower.
Q13	Are you anticipating drawings only for the 90% submissions for the Morrow projects, or would you like draft MMCD tender documents too?
A13	Tender documents don’t need to be included for the Morrow Storm and Sanitary projects. Only 90% drawings and a cost estimate for each.

End of Addendum No. 2