



Addendum No. 1

Issued: Wednesday, May 22, 2019

RFP-2019-11

2019 Summerland Infrastructure Upgrades

Addendum No. 1 consists of: Questions and Answers, Comments and Supporting Documents.

The RFP documents for this work are revised as noted herein. All such revisions become a part of the work and shall be included in your Proposal. No consideration will be allowed for extras due to the Proponent not being familiar with this Addendum.

1. Reference: Answers to Questions Received:

The following are answers to the questions received:

Q01	Is there an existing geotechnical report for the Deer Ridge subdivision at the top of Morrow Ave.?
A01	We have a "Wastewater to Ground Evaluation and Environmental Impact Assessment" for the Deer Ridge subdivision dated June 30, 2000 by Kala Groundwater Consulting LTD. This document is attached to the end of this addendum.
Q02	Quinpool comments by district.
A02	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quinpool main dead ends at Victoria Rd. N. due to a pressure zone difference.- Construction slated for this year.- Services to be replaced up to and including curb stop at property line.
Q03	Doherty comments by district.
A03	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Construction slated for this year.
Q04	Is the district open to inspection and contract administration?
A04	Yes. The district prefers inspection, layout & asbuilt survey, asbuilt drawings, contract administration to be completed by the successful proponent for the areas going to construction this year. The Morrow Ave. sections will only be going to 90% design.
Q05	Does the successful proponent need to model the water system for Quinpool sizing?
A05	No, the district will look after this.
Q06	Will the road repairs for Quinpool be full width, or just as affected by construction?
A06	Just what is affected by construction. The district will deliberate and may revise this answer in a separate addendum.
Q07	Is there a separate irrigation system on Quinpool?



A07	No
Q08	Will any hydrants need to be added on Quinpool?
A08	Current coverage appears to be sufficient. The district will consult with the fire department and may request additional hydrants in a separate addendum.
Q09	Is there a preferred typical cross-section to be used for Doherty?
A09	No, the successful proponent will consult with the district to come up with a custom road cross section typical to the existing road.
Q10	Does the district want curbs on Doherty?
A10	No.
Q11	Is there any geotechnical information for Doherty?
A11	None discovered for this addendum. The district will continue searching for information that may be included in the next addendum.
Q12	Are there any underground utility upgrades required on Doherty at this time?
A12	No.
Q13	Is the district open to changes in radii or alignment on Doherty?
A13	This could be explored by the successful proponent, however it is not required.
Q14	Is there any traffic data available for Doherty?
A14	None discovered for this addendum. The district will continue searching for information that may be included in the next addendum.
Q15	Is there additional property available for detention/retention of storm water for Morrow?
A15	No, just what is in the right of way and easements to Prairie Creek.
Q16	Are there existing pipes between Prairie Valley Rd. and Prairie Creek?
A16	Yes, but sizing should be checked in the field.
Q17	Does the sanitary main size on Morrow need to be sufficient to accommodate future development?
A17	Yes.
Q18	What zoning should be assumed for sanitary model on Morrow?
A18	Current zoning should be used, however the district will confirm and may update this answer in the next addendum.
Q19	Is a sanitary lift station required for Morrow?
A19	No.
Q20	Does the district want a single tender for Quinpool and Doherty or would the district prefer separate tenders?
A20	The district is open to whatever the proponent recommends, they do not have to be constructed at the same time though.
Q21	How much time does the district need to review the designs?
A21	Turnaround time for design review would be minimal (a week or two). Construction for Quinpool and Doherty would ideally be completed this year.
Q22	How does the district want contract administration and inspection priced?
A22	Weekly.
Q23	At what percentage does the district want to review design?
A23	The district would like to review design sooner than later so we know we are on the same page. (50% and 90% for example)



Q24	Is there a topo survey available for each site?
A24	No, the proponent would have to complete this, however the district may have 1 meter contours available.
Q25	Has the district completed a preliminary budget?
A25	Yes, however the budget will not be released as part of this RFP.

End of Addendum No. 1